

Download Scrinopskie V. Arthur Murray

LESLIE SCRINOPSKIE and ROBERT SCRINOPSKIE, Appellees, v. ARTHUR MURRAY, INC., A corporation, Appellant, and E.F. FURREY, WILLIAM OLSON and EDITH OLSON, Defendants. DOCKET NO. No. 44,151. ATTORNEY(S) Myron L. Listrom, of Topeka, argued the cause, and Eldon Sloan and James W. Sloan, of Topeka, were with him on the brief for the appellant. Opinion for Scrinopskie v. Arthur Murray, Inc., 403 P.2d 1001, 195 Kan. 278 — Brought to you by Free Law Project, a non-profit dedicated to creating high quality open legal information. The controls above mentioned, retained by Arthur Murray, Inc., in its license agreements and exercised by it, together with the facts that the corporation fixed hourly rates, established a system of student refunds, set up [238 Cal. App. 2d 342] a system of behavior, set up an agreement whereby unused portions of courses at one studio must be honored at another, inspected books and directed ..., Scrinopskie V. Arthur Murray.

Other Files :